

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/805,772	03/22/2004	Michael L. Creekmore	006429.00004	1232	
7303	7590 05/17/2005		EXAMINER		
FRANK J CATALANO			COURSON, TANIA C		
FRANK J CATALANO, P.C. 100 WEST 5TH ST., 10TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TULSA, OK 74103-4990			2859	2859	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/805,772	CREEKMORE, MICHAEL ()	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tania C. Courson	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,13 and 15-17</u> is/are pending in the	application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>12,13 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	* **		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate catent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	pproduction (10 102)	

Application/Control Number: 10/805,772 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 12-13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bahar et al. (US 6,203,168 B1).

Bahar et al. discloses in Figures 1 and 3, an illuminating box comprising: With respect to Claims 12-13 and 15:

- a) a substantially flat elongated member (Fig. 1, illuminating box 10) having a straight edge (Fig. 3, bottom edge 21), said edge having a lengthwise cavity therein (Fig. 3, interior chamber 19), an encased light source and a plurality of passages extending within said member from said light source (Fig. 3, three light bulbs 28), said cavity directing light at the workpiece (Fig. 3);
- b) said member having a chamber therein encapsulating said light source (Fig. 3, interior chamber 19), and;
- c) said member being a straight edge (Fig. 1, illuminating box 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/805,772 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

With respect to Claim 17:

a) a substantially flat elongated member (Fig. 1, illuminating box 10) having a

straight edge (Fig. 3, bottom edge 21);

b) said edge having a lengthwise cavity therein (Fig. 3, interior chamber 19);

c) at least one light source within said member dispersing light into said cavity

(Fig. 3, sources of light 20);

d) said cavity directing the light at the workpiece (Fig. 3).

With respect to the preamble of the claims 1 and 17: the preamble of the claim has not

been given any patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of

a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the

preamble is a self – contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon

the introductory clause. Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

With respect to claims 1 and 17: With respect to the intended use of the apparatus, e.g.

for abutment with the workpiece to be tested, for conducting light emitted from said source: It

has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is

intended to be employed does not differentiate the <u>claimed</u> apparatus from a prior art apparatus

satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

It has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and

accordingly can not serve to distinguish. In re Mason, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Art Unit: 2859

With respect to claim 15, the straight edge disclosed by Bahar et al. is considered to be in a broad sense, a "machinist's" straight edge since Bahar et al. clearly shows the box having a linear edge (Fig. 3, bottom edge 21). Furthermore, the term "machinist's" does not add any structural limitation to the term "straight edge", thus it does not provide enough patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahar et al., as applied to claims12-13, 15 and 17 as stated above, and further in view of Queen (US 2,745,183)

Bahar et al. disclose an illuminating box as stated above in paragraph 2.

They do not disclose a member being a square.

Queen teaches a toolmaker's square that contains a member being a square (Fig. 1, blade 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the an illuminating box of Bahar et al. so as to include a member being a square, as taught by Queen, in order to afford a direct reading of the deviation of

Art Unit: 2859

the work from a right angle or other given predetermined angle (column 1, lines 17-18) during

use of the tool.

With respect to claim 16, the square disclosed by Queen is considered to be in a broad

sense, a "machinist's" square since Queen clearly shows a toolmaker's square (Fig. 1).

Furthermore, the term "machinist's" does not add any structural limitation to the term "square",

thus it does not provide enough patentable weight.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tania C. Courson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2239.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Diego Gutierrez, can be reached on (571) 272-2245.

The fax number for this Organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/805,772

Art Unit: 2859

Page 6

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 2859

TCC May 13, 2005

> G. BRADLEY BENNETT PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 2859